

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,414	07/31/2001	Haruki Hiranuma	S004-4358	4207
7590 09/28/2004			EXAMINER	
ADAMS & WILKS			MISKA, VIT W	
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 31st FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
50 BROADWAY			2841	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/919,414	HIRANUMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Vit W. Miska	2841			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 October 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>4 and 19</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-13 and 18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>14-17</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)[The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/919,414

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 1- 3 and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 refers to a bayonet structure comprising "a projected portion having a front end with a hemispherical shape". It is assumed that applicant intended to mean "semispherical". This structure apparently corresponds to bayonet part 33 referred to at page 8, line 14 of the specification as being of a "doughnut shape". The "doughnut shape" supposedly denotes the circular cross section of part 33 in the plane of Fig. 7 as well as a thickness and opening in the middle portion. Although at page 2 of the original specification reference is made to the projected portion of "a hemispherical shape or in the shape of a mountain", the descriptive portion of the specification lacks reference to bayonet part 33 being of a

"hemispherical shape" and refers to the same as being of a "doughnut" shape, as noted above. The drawing figures likewise fail to disclose any "hemispherical" shaped bayonet part 33. Applicant may amend the specification to properly describe the shape of part 33 claimed to correspond to the structure shown in the drawing if a translation error resulted in improper claim language.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim recites the limitation "the groove" and "the elastically deformable member" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claim appears to be properly dependent on claim 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,414 Page 4

Art Unit: 2841

3. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by any

one of the patents to Biver or Tissot. Biver discloses main body 1,2 housing a watch

movement as shown, bezel 4 surrounding the display body, bayonet structure

including projections 8-10 on main body 1,2, projections 11-13 on the inner

circumference of the bezel for removably mounting the bezel to body 1,2. The bezel is

further rotatable unless locked by means 6. Tissot discloses rotatable and removable

bezel 6 for a watch main body 1 using bayonet means 12-15,17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biver or

Tissot, as applied to claim 12, in further view of Eschle. The latter reference teaches

placement of marks on a rotatable bezel including mark hole 12 for alignment with the

watch case. It would be obvious for one skilled in the art to provide such marks in either

of the references for aligning the bezel with the case.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,414

Art Unit: 2841

5. Claims 4 and 19 are allowed.

6. Claims 14-17 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and if claim 15 is amended to depend from claim

Page 5

14.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vit W. Miska whose telephone number is 571-272-2108. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, K. Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,414

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VM 9/4/2004

> Vit Miska Primary Examiner

Page 6